For the Northern District of California

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	1	
2	2	
3	3	
4	4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7	7	
8	8 JAMSHID S. KASHANNEJAD, No. C	-11-2228 EMC
9		
10	10 v. COM	ER RE PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF PLIANCE AND REQUEST FOR RIFICATION AND
11		ONSIDERATION
12 13	Defendants. (Dock	et No. 196)

Plaintiff has filed a document titled "Notice of Compliance with the Court's Order and Request for Clarification and Reconsideration." See Docket No. 196 (notice and request). Having reviewed that document and its accompanying submissions, the Court hereby rules as follows.

1. Plaintiff has legitimately raised the question of whether the airline will allow him to board and/or whether CBP will allow him to enter because, even though he timely purchased a ticket from Emirates, the date of travel was not within two weeks thereafter. An e-mail written by Mr. Lawrence to Ms. Hafezalsehe (Emirates) dated February 27, 2013, suggests that travel within two weeks after the purchase date was required. See Docket No. 188 (Exhibit A) (stating that the transportation "letters have expired, but per Bruce Mulraney of CBP, the United States will honor the travel letter so long as Mr. Kashannejad purchases his ticket this week and travels to Los Angeles (LAX) within two weeks thereafter") (emphasis added). This requirement was not suggested in Defendants' prior filings. See, e.g., Docket No. 185 (Defs.' Status Report at 3); Docket No. 185 (Exhibit A) (e-mail from Mr. Lawrence to Ms. Hafezalsehe dated February 19, 2013) (asking

Emirates as well as CBP.		
more than two weeks after the date of purchase of the ticket). This includes contacting		
prevented from returning to the United States because his date of travel is April 14, 2013 (i.e.,		
Defendants to take all necessary measures to ensure that Mr. Kashannejad will not be		
any requirement to travel within a certain period). Accordingly, the Court hereby orders		
the next two weeks with the same documentation he previously provided"; not making mention of		
whether Emirates will sell "Mr. Kashannejad a ticket to Los Angeles if he returns to your office in		

2. Plaintiff has essentially asked the Court what he should do if, for whatever reason, he is prevented from returning to the United States on or about April 14, 2013. See Docket No. 196 (Mot. at 5-6). At this point, Plaintiff is engaging in speculation and is further asking the Court to speculate as well. The Court shall not issue an advisory opinion. As the Court previously held, there shall be an entry of judgment and case closure on April 15, 2013. If Plaintiff wishes to file any post-judgment motions, the Court will deal with the issue at that time.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 3, 2013

EDWARD M. CHEN United States District Judge